

Maine Human Rights Commission

51 State House Station, Augusta, ME 04333-0051

Physical location: 19 Union Street, Augusta, ME 04330

Phone (207) 624-6290 Fax (207) 624-8729 TTY: Maine Relay 711

www.maine.gov/mhrc

Amy M. Sneirson EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR

Barbara Archer Hirsch

INVESTIGATOR'S REPORT MHRC Case No. E17-0016 September 14, 2018

Kevin Osgood (Rockland)

v.

Advantage Solutions¹ (Anaheim, CA)

I. Summary of Case:

Complainant, who was employed by Respondent to provide sample products at third-party store locations, alleged that Respondent retaliated against him for making a report to a state agency ("Agency") about his pay when it reduced his hours, harassed him, removed him from a store location, and later discharged him.² Respondent, a sales and marketing agency, denied retaliation and asserted that it removed Complainant from the store location because of sanitary violations and discharged Complainant for violating its timekeeping policy. The Investigator conducted a preliminary investigation, which included reviewing the documents submitted by the parties, requesting additional information, and holding an Issues and Resolution Conference ("IRC"). Based upon this information, the Investigator recommends a finding that there are reasonable grounds to believe that Respondent retaliated against Complainant based on protected activity.

II. Jurisdictional Data:

- 1) Dates of alleged discrimination: May 2016 to January 24, 2017.
- 2) Date complaint filed with the Maine Human Rights Commission ("Commission"): January 9, 2017.
- 3) Respondent has 49,200 employees and is subject to the Maine Human Rights Act ("MHRA") and the Maine Whistleblowers' Protection Act ("WPA").
- 4) Complainant is represented by Donald Lawson-Stopps, Esq. Respondent is represented by Bruce Larson, Esq.

¹ Complainant named "Advantage Solutions" as the Respondent in his complaint; Respondent provided that its name is "Advantage Sales and Marketing LLC dba Advantage Solutions". Because Complainant has not amended his complaint, the name he used has been retained.

² Respondent discharged Complainant after he filed this complaint with the Commission but before the Commission provided Respondent with notice of such.